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**CHAPTER I**

**INTRODUCTION**

* 1. **. Background of the Study**

Review current studies, issues, and theoretical orientations in the topic. State gap in the literature / studies. State how your study can fill the gap.

**1.2. Research Questions**

The following research questions guided this study:

1. What ……..? How…?

**1.3. Objectives of the Study**

The main objectives of this qualitative research were as follows (examples):

* + 1. To identify and analyze negotiation strategies in EFL remote learning interactions.
		2. To explore the ramification of these strategies in the implementation of

 English learning conducted remotely.

* + 1. To construct an understanding of how translingual practices in EFL remote

 learning was produced in relation to the way a virtual classroom was managed.

**1.4. Significance of the Study**

Explain how your study contribute to the body of the knowledge.

**1.5. Scope of the study**

 This study mainly focused on ………X (specific investigation foci and goals)………. in (specific context).

**1.6. Definition of terms (key concepts you stated in the research questions and other interconnected aspects with the concepts you cover in your study)**

Below is some definition of terms primarily used in this research study:

1. Translanguaging: ……………….
2. XXXX: ……………………….

**CHAPTER II**

**LITERATURE REVIEW & THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

This chapter addresses the literature review and conceptual framework that inform you to understand, design your research, and analyse your data………..

**2.1. Sub-section…..**

This section covers …………………..

**2.1.1. Sub-section………**

……………………………………………..

Table 2.1

Translingual Negotiation Strategies

(Macro and Micro)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| No | Macro Strategies | Micro Strategies |
| 1 | Envoicing | 1. Approximation, e.g., “plate” instead of “bowl”.
2. Word coinage, e.g., “handicapped toilet”
3. Foreignization, e.g., “kans” for chance”
4. Code-switching/code-mixing, e.g., “summa cum lude”
5. Code meshing e.g., “It ain’t enough”
6. Rhetorical tendency, e.g., using humor
7. Gendered expression, e.g., high involvement for women
 |
| 2 | Recontextualization | 1. Managing topic, e.g., “asking age”
2. Contextualization, e.g., “saying greeting”
3. Accommodation
4. Using safe talk, hiding the facts to preserve the interlocutor dignity.
5. Crossing, using language variety that belongs to other groups.
6. Creating a third space, safe talk with a topic to agree on.
 |
| 3 | Interactional | 1. Confirmation check, e.g., “You said…”
2. Clarification request, e.g., “What do you mean”
3. Comprehension check, e.g., “Do you know?”
4. Recast, e.g., “She sick” ---- “Oh she **is** sick”
5. Self-reformulation/correction, “I go... I went...”
6. Self-representation, repeating a term but not entirely.
7. Appeal for assistance, e.g., “What is the name? made of meat...”
8. Mime, using visual illustration.
9. Let it pass, ignoring small mistakes.
 |
| 4 | Entextualization | 1. Simplification, using a lower speech rate
2. Segmentation, shortening utterance into segments.
3. Regularization, foregrounding explicit forms.
4. Levelling, e.g., “ He eat” instead of“ He eats”
 |

In some cases, the terms code-switching and code-mixing are used as complementary terms; code-switching is reserved for language alternation between sentences, and codemixing is for the language alternation of two languages within a sentence (Winford, 2003, p. 105 ). Garcia and Wei (2014) give different key notions for both.

Translanguaging differs from the notion of code-switching in that it refers not simply to a shift or a shuttle between two languages but to the speakers' construction and use of original and complex interrelated discursive practices that cannot be easily assigned to one or another traditional definition of a language, but that make up the speakers' complete language repertoire (Garcia & Wei, 2014, p. 22).

**CHAPTER III**

**METHODOLOGY**

This chapter elucidates the appropriate approach to the research study with a case study for translingual practices in remote English learning. This part also describes the research context, participants, data collection method, virtual observation, interview, questionnaire, data collection, and analysis.

**3.1. Research Approach**

The research study employed ……………………..

**3.2. Research Context**

The research study was undertaken at ……………….

**3.4. Data and Source of Data**

The data source in this study was taken from ……………….. The data were all words or expressions of translingual practices found in ……………….

**3.5. Data Collection Instruments**

……………explain each data collection instrument, give justification for choosing each instrument, what information you seek to obtain from each data collection instrument …………………….

………………………………

**3.5.1. [data collection instrument 1]**

……………………………………….

**3.5.2. [data collection instrument 2]**

……………………………

**3.5.3. [data collection instrument 3]**

**3.6. Data Analysis method**

Explain the process of analyzing your data from each instrument…… .

**CHAPTER IV**

**FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

This chapter addresses the answers to the three main research questions formulated in Chapter One……

**4.1. Theme / finding 1 ….**

Based on observation and the data analysis, I identified ………………

………………………………

…………………………….

**4.2. Theme / finding 2………..**

………………………..

………………………

………………………

**CHAPTER V**

**SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND IMPLICATION**

**5.1. Summary of findings**

Review your significance findings and how they answer your research questions……………

**5.3. Implication**

From the findings, what information are most significant, and to whom will the information beneficial, and in what way?

**5.4. Limitations and Recommendations for future research**

**5.4.1. Limitation of the study**

Mention 2 or 3 limitation and explain how future research can address these limitations.

**REFERENCES (APA 7th edition)**

**APPENDIX A: Survey Items**

**ANGKET PENELITIAN**

**………………….**

**APPENDIX B: Interview Scripts for Instructors**

**TRANSLINGUAL PRACTICE OF DIGITAL EFL REMOTE LEARNING INTERACTIONS AT AN INDONESIAN TERTIARY LEVEL**

**Instructions:**

Please answer the following questions about translingual practices in EFL remote learning interactions.

……………………….

**APPENDIX C: Interview Scripts for Students**

**TRANSLINGUAL PRACTICE OF DIGITAL EFL REMOTE LEARNING INTERACTIONS AT AN INDONESIAN TERTIARY LEVEL**

**Instructions:**

Please answer the following questions about EFL remote learning interactions.

…………………………